

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/735,654	10/735,654 12/16/2003		Shih Hsiung Li	LISH3002/EM	3529		
23364	7590	05/04/2005		EXAMINER			
BACON & 625 SLATER		,	WALK, SAMUEL J				
FOURTH FL			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
ALEXANDE	UA, VA	22314	2632				
				DATE MAILED: 05/04/2003	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
	•	Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	<i>u</i> ,
Office Action Summary		10/73	5,654	LI, SHIH HSIUNG	
		Exami	ner	Art Unit	
			el J. Walk	2632	
The M Period for Reply		nication appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	ress
THE MAILING - Extensions of tir after SIX (6) MO - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply v Any reply receiv		IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply an y will, by statute, cause the	statutory minimum of the dwill expire SIX (6) Mo application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this com ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status					
1)⊠ Respor	sive to communication(s) fil	ed on 16 Decembe	r 2003.		
· ·	tion is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action i		1	
3)☐ Since t	nis application is in conditior	n for allowance exce	ept for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the r	nerits is
closed	in accordance with the prac	tice under <i>Ex parte</i>	Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of C	laims				
4a) Of the first	is) 1-20 is/are pending in the ne above claim(s) is/are allowed. is) 1-20 is/are rejected. is) 1-20 is/are rejected. is) is/are objected to. is) are subject to restrict.	are withdrawn from	· ·		
Application Pap	ers	•			
10)⊠ The dra Applicar Replace	nt may not request that any objo ment drawing sheet(s) includin	er 2003 is/are: a)⊠ ection to the drawing(g the correction is rec	s) be held in abey quired if the drawir	☐ objected to by the Examir ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR ed Office Action or form PTC	R 1.121(d).
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119			•	
a)	ledgment is made of a claim b) Some * c) None of: certified copies of the priority certified copies of the priority copies of the certified copies pplication from the Internati attached detailed Office acti	y documents have to y documents have to s of the priority docu onal Bureau (PCT F	peen received. peen received in Iments have bee Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No In received in this National S	tage
			, ·		
2) Notice of Drafts	ences Cited (PTO-892) person's Patent Drawing Review (closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o ail Date		Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PTO-1 	152)

Art Unit: 2632

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-2, 6, 8-9, 13, 15-16 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murakami (US 6759957) in view of Latorre (US 5438318) and in further view of Saylor (US 6400265).

In reference to Claim 1, Murakami discloses a home security system wherein claims sensor met by sensors 11-18; claimed image capture device met by cameras 21-26; claimed control unit met by controller 30; claimed communication interface met by electric power lines L; claimed image transmission to cell phone met by cellular phone 50 which is enabled to show an image captured by camera 21, see Col. 8 lns 39-48. Murakami does not disclose a memory. However, Latorre teaches of a movement detector for detecting movement within a predetermined space wherein movement detector (unlabeled) includes memory 6, see Col. 2 lns 1-3 and

Art Unit: 2632

39-47. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Latorre into the system of Murakami because providing a memory allows the device to effectively and accurately compare measured levels to desired thresholds. Murakami and Latorre do not disclose dialing an emergency number and generating alarm signals. However, Saylor discloses a system and method for monitoring security system by using video images wherein the user and police or security company are notified of alarm conditions via the web, see Col. 3 lns 61-63, Col. 4 lns 2-3 and 24-28, Col. 5 lns 38-49 and Col. 6 lns 35-55. Therefore, one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made would have incorporated the teachings of Saylor into the system of Murakami and Latorre because notifying emergency personnel of alarm conditions allows for a quicker response and a safer environment.

In reference to Claim 2, Murakami further discloses that sensors 11-18 comprise infrared sensors, see Col. 4 lns 28-29.

In reference to Claim 6, Murakami further discloses that camera21-26 are CCD, see Col. 5 lns 12-13.

In reference to Claims 8-9 and 13, Murakami discloses a plurality of sensors and a plurality of cameras operable of a plurality of electric power lines, see Col. 3 lns 40-51.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2632

Murakami does not specifically disclose modulation and demodulation. However, Latorre teaches of movement detector (unlabeled) that includes demodulator 3, see Col. 2 lns 39-47. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to include modulation and demodulation means so that the data would properly and efficient transmit.

In reference to Claims 15-16 and 20, Murakami discloses that the communication between sensors 11-18 and controller 30 is over power lines. Murakami and Latorre do not disclose wireless communication. However, Saylor teaches that communication may be establish through various mediums such as radio frequency, POTS, cable modem, DSL, wireless and others, see Col. 5 lns 38-49. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize wireless communication because it allows more versatility and easier installation.

3. Claims 3-5 and 10-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murakami in view of Latorre in view of Saylor and in further view of Owechko (US 2003/0204384).

In reference to Claims 3-5, Murakami and Saylor disclose a system which monitors intrusion alarm conditions over power

Art Unit: 2632

lines. Murakami and Saylor do not disclose an ultrasonic sensor. However, Owechko teaches of high-performance sensor fusion architecture wherein object presence is detected using vision sensors such as CCD and CMOS cameras and ultrasonic sensors, see para [0049]. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Owechko into the combined system of Murakami, Latorre and Saylor because the sensors are functionally equivalent and readily available components.

<u>In reference to Claims 10-12</u>, see above rejection in reference to Claims 8-9.

In reference to Claims 17-19, see above rejection in reference to Claims 15-16 and 20.

4. Claims 7 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Murakami in view of Latorre in view of Saylor and in further view of Parsadayan (US 6329930).

In reference to Claims 7, Murakami and Saylor disclose a system for monitoring intrusion alarm conditions over power lines using a CCD camera. Murakami, Latorre and Saylor do not disclose a CMOS camera. However, Parsadayan teaches of a method and apparatus for detection of a breach of a security gate

Art Unit: 2632

wherein cameras 20 may be any of a [w]ide variety of known digital video cameras including CMOS imaging microcircuits, see Col. 2 lns 61-64. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate the teachings of Parsadayan into the combined system of Murakami and Saylor because CMOS cameras are functionally equivalent and readily available components.

In reference to Claims 14, see above rejection in reference to Claims 8-9.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Orita (US 6831550) discloses a security system using sensors. Richman (US 2003/0206100) discloses a method and protocol for real time security system. Naidoo (US 2004/0086090) discloses a lifestyle multimedia security system. Schranz (US 2004/0086093) discloses a VOIP security montoring and alarm system.

Correspondence

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel J.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,654

Art Unit: 2632

Walk whose telephone number is (571) 272-2960. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F: 8:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Wu can be reached on (571) 272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

5/02/05

Page 7